

The Influence of Financial Literacy, Overconfidence, and Risk Tolerance on Student Investment Decisions in Palembang City

Putri Fadillah Amanda¹, Endah Dewi Purnamasari², Shafiera Lazuardi³

^{1,2,3}Faculty of Economics/Management, Indo Global Mandiri University, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received Aug 01, 2023

Revised Aug 12, 2023

Accepted Aug 25, 2023

Keywords:

Financial Management

Financial Literacy

Overconfidence

Risk Tolerance

Investment Decisions

ABSTRACT

This study aims to determine the effect of financial literacy, overconfidence, and risk tolerance on investment decisions of students in Palembang City. This study uses quantitative methods and analysis using multiple linear regression analysis. Testing this study using SPSS version 25. The results of the first regression analysis prove that financial literacy (X1) has a positive and significant effect on investment decisions, If financial literacy increases, investment decisions also increase. The results of the second regression analysis prove that overconfidence (X2) has a positive and significant effect on investment decisions. If an investor has high overconfidence, the investment decision will also be high. The results of the third linear regression analysis prove that risk tolerance (X3) has no positive and significant effect. If an investor has a high risk tolerance, the investor will be more courageous in making investment decisions, whereas if an investor has a low risk tolerance, the investor tends to avoid risk.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-NC](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) license.



Corresponding Author:

Putri Fadillah Amanda

Faculty of Economics/Management,

Indo Global Mandiri University,

Jl. Jend. Sudirman Km.4 No. 62, 20 illir D.IV, Kec. Ilir Tim. I, Kota Palembang, Sumatera Selatan 30129, Indonesia.

Email: Pufaa0801@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

The capital market in Indonesia plays an important role for the economy in Indonesia, especially in terms of investment and business facilities. The capital market itself is not much different from the general market. Activities in the capital market occur when buying and selling securities between buyers and sellers. According to the Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 8 of 1995, the capital market is a means of activities related to trading in securities, public offerings, public companies related to securities, and institutions related to securities. So that the capital market is formed in order to connect investors with institutions (Kusumawati, 2022).

An economy that has developed very advanced makes people think about being able to earn income outside of the salary they get where they work, and investment is one of the options for obtaining it (Salwah, 2020). Investment as a place to invest capital, whose goal is to get a number of benefits from the results of the investment, requires that many people have the knowledge to be able to develop along with the development of increasingly sophisticated digital technology. An investor generally invests to generate some money, then the investment is disseminated in order to increase welfare for investors in the future which can improve their standard of living from time to time,

emphasize the growth rate of inflation and are expected to avoid the risk of decreasing the value of their wealth or rights (Tandelilin, 2017).

Knowledge about investing or financial literacy really needs to be owned for everyone so they can understand what to choose in investing so that they don't experience fraud from fraudulent investments that have a negative impact on them. With this knowledge they get provisions that give them careful thought when investing. So therefore, knowledge, management, and management can provide direction to determine investment decisions and can provide the maximum rate of return. Students as the z generation are not only faced with the level of complexity and increasing financial products, services and markets. But they accept more financial risks in the future (Putri, et al., 2019).

This makes the role of financial literacy very important in understanding investment. Having sufficient literacy helps investors in making investment decisions so that these investments can run smoothly according to what investors expect, namely getting returns in the future. Financial literacy is the ability to analyze, read, manage, and communicate about individual financial conditions that affect economic welfare (Kartawinata and Mubaraq, 2018). Literacy as a mediator to minimize investor decisions that can help investors think more rationally in making decisions. As research conducted by (Awais, et al., 2016) states that by increasing the level of knowledge of financial information and increasing the ability to analyze information, it provides opportunities for investors to improve their abilities to invest with higher risks. Investor decision-making to invest with high risk is based on the financial literacy of the investor.

Overconfidence is an aspect of bias that influences a person in making investment decisions. Overconfidence is a feeling of being too confident in the ability or knowledge possessed in making investments (Budiarto, 2017). The higher the level of overconfidence, the person trades too often, while someone who has a low level of overconfidence will be careful in making investment decisions. The characteristics of the z generation of investors are high overconfidence because the average age of the z generation is relatively young which gives them high self-confidence and feels that their abilities are above average, while the z generation of investors who have low overconfidence are usually looking for new experiences by learning to start investing with income that doesn't yet exist. The process of making investment decisions for each investor also considers the risks, risk tolerance is the main factor in influencing someone in making investment decisions. Because every investment is not only calculated profits but risks as well. Each investor has a desired risk limit, the size of the risk depends on the tolerance of each investor. (Budiarto and Susanti, 2017) stated an acceptable level of ability to take an investment risk. The level of a person's risk tolerance is strongly influenced by many factors such as age, gender, income, and experience (Kusumawati, 2022).

In the research conducted by (Kusumawati, 2022) the results showed that financial literacy had a negative effect on investment decisions of students in Semarang City, but the results of overconfidence and risk tolerance showed positive results on student investment decisions in Semarang City. Meanwhile, in (Salwah, 2020) showed results that tests carried out on investors in Makassar City simultaneously, all three variables had a jointly positive influence on Investment Decisions for capital market products in Makassar City. However, the partial test results for financial literacy and overconfidence do not have a significant effect, while the risk tolerance variable has a significant effect on investment decisions. Given the diversity of research results related to the relationship of financial literacy, overconfidence, and risk tolerance for investment decisions.

Research by (Devara et al., 2020) shows that financial literacy, overconfidence, and risk tolerance have a positive effect on investment decisions. However, in (Hutami, 2018), the results of this study state that financial literacy and perceived risk have no significant negative effect on investment decisions and overconfidence has a significant positive effect on investment decisions. Likewise, financial literacy, overconfidence and perceived risk simultaneously have a significant effect on investment decisions, which is one of the interesting things for researchers to analyze further.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

The scope of research

The scope of this research is pain in financial literacy, overconfidence, and also risk tolerance for student investment decisions in the city of Palembang.

Object of research

This study uses research objects by involving students who already have investments and students who are interested in investing who live in Palembang City. This object was chosen because students have a major influence in investing in the capital market, namely as agents of change in the digital era.

Research Population

The population in this study are students who have invested, students who want to invest or are of productive age in the z generation born in 1996-2012 who live in Palembang City. The population in this study was taken randomly and the sample was determined from D3 or S1 students from all majors. The following is the number of population in this study:

Table 1. The number of study population

| NO | Universitas | Responden | Persentase% |
|---------------|---|------------|-------------|
| 1 | Universitas Sriwijaya | 61 | 33,9% |
| 2 | Politeknik Negeri Sriwijaya | 21 | 11,7% |
| 3 | Universitas Indo Global Mandiri Palembang | 20 | 11,1% |
| 4 | Universitas Muhammadiyah Palembang | 11 | 6,1% |
| 5 | UIN Raden Fatah Palembang | 20 | 11,1% |
| 6 | Universitas Terbuka Palembang | 10 | 5,6% |
| 7 | Universitas Tridinanti Palembang | 17 | 9,4% |
| 8 | Institut Palcomtech | 1 | 0,6% |
| 9 | Universitas PGRI Palembang | 5 | 2,8% |
| 10 | Universitas Bina Darma Palembang | 1 | 0,6% |
| 11 | Universitas Taman Siswa Palembang | 1 | 0,6% |
| 12 | Universitas Khatolik Musi Charitas | 4 | 2,2% |
| 13 | Stikes Aisyiyah Palembang | 1 | 0,6% |
| 14 | Akademi Maritim Bina Bahari Plg | 2 | 1,1% |
| 15 | Stia Satya Negara | 1 | 0,6% |
| 16 | Universitas Bina Nusantara Palembang | 4 | 2,2% |
| Amount | | 180 | 100% |

Research Sample

The sample is part of the number of characteristics possessed by the population (Sugiyono, 2018). Because in this study the number of student population of the z generation or productive age in Palembang City who are interested in investing or have already invested in the capital market is not known with certainty, so in this study using the Joseph Hair formula sample (Salwah, 2020) suggests the number of research samples where the exact population size is unknown, at least five times the variables or indicators being analyzed. To measure the sample size, it is calculated between (5-10) x the number of indicators. There are 18 indicators in this study, so the sample calculation results are as follows:

$$\text{Number of samples} = 10 \times 18 = 180 \text{ sample}$$

Based on the formula and sample data above, the sample that will be used in this study is 180 students/I in Palembang City in 2022.

Data collection technique

The data used in this study is primary data obtained directly from students in Palembang City. In addition, the type of data used is quantitative data. Data in this study were collected using a google form to create a questionnaire which was then disseminated via social media.

Variable Operational Definitions

The operational definition describes certain methods that can be used to examine the types of variables as well as descriptions of variables in the form of variable names, sub-variables, variable indicators and measurement scales used by researchers. This study consists of 4 variables studied namely Financial Literacy (X1), Overconfidence (X2), Risk Tolerance (X3), and Investment Decision (Y) can be seen in the following table:

Table 2. Variable Operational Definitions

| No | Variable Name | Variable Definition | Indicator | Scale |
|----|--------------------------------------|--|---|--------|
| 1 | Financial Literacy (X ₁) | Financial Literacy is a basic science or design to understand financial matters such as managing budgets, saving money, controlling expenses, knowing the benefits of products and services of financial institutions and their use in everyday life including how to manage them (Bongomin, et al., 2016) | 1. Financial Knowledge 2. Skills in managing finances 3. Behavior regarding finances 4. Attitude towards finance | Likert |
| 2 | Overconfidence (X ₂) | Overconfidence is a bias in individuals where they believe and think that the abilities they have are higher than their actual abilities (Rahman, et al., 2018) | 1. Have confidence in the success of the plan 2. Have the ability to predict stocks correctly 3. Have confidence in investment skills above the average investor 4. Have better experience confidence than other investors 5. Have better investment information knowledge than other investors | Likert |
| 3 | Risk Tolerance (X ₃) | Risk tolerance merupakan tingkat risiko yang masih dapat ditoleransi oleh seseorang dalam mengambil suatu risiko investasi. Tinggi rendahnya toleransi risiko seseorang sangat dipengaruhi oleh banyak faktor misalnya usia, jenis kelamin, pengetahuan, dan pengalaman (Anggirani, 2017) | 1. Willingness to buy high-risk investments for high returns 2. Willingness to buy low-risk investments 3. More Important profit 4. Do not assume that risk always leads to loss 5. Willingness to provide unsecured loans | Likert |

| | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--|---|--------|
| 4 | Investation Decision(Y) | Suatu proses analisis mengenai produk keuangan yang mempertimbangkan return, risiko, dan waktu perencanaan agar mendapatkan keuntungan yang maksimal di masa yang akan datang (Kusumawati, 2022) | 1. Use of income for risky investment 2. Thoughtless investment 3. Unsecured investment 4. Investing based on intuition and feelings | Likert |
|---|-------------------------|--|---|--------|

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Characteristics of Respondents

The description of the respondents in this study based on the gender and age of the respondents is as follows:

Tabel 3. Respondent Characteristics

| No | Gender | Respondent | Persentase % |
|--------|--------|------------|--------------|
| 1 | Man | 77 | 42,8% |
| 2 | Woman | 103 | 57,2% |
| Amount | | 180 | 100% |

| No | Age | Respondent | Persentase% |
|--------|---------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 18 Year | 2 | 1,1% |
| 2 | 19 Year | 6 | 3,3% |
| 3 | 20 Year | 44 | 24,4% |
| 4 | 21 Year | 74 | 41,1% |
| 5 | 22 Year | 32 | 17,8% |
| 6 | 23 Year | 18 | 10,0% |
| 7 | 24 Year | 1 | 0,6% |
| 8 | 25 Year | 3 | 1,7% |
| Amount | | 180 | 100% |

Based on table 3 above can that with a total of 180 students as a population, there are 77 male students and 103 female students. In addition, based on the data above, the majority of respondents in this study were aged 20-22 years with a percentage of 83.3 percent.

Normality test

The normality test is used to test whether the dependent variable and independent variable regression models both have a normal distribution or not (Ghozali, 2016). A data can be said to be normally distributed if the significant value on the Kolmogorov-Smirnov > 0.05 and vice versa. The normality test results in this study are as follows:

Table 4. Normality test

| One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------|------------|
| Variabel | Asymp.Sig. (2-tailed) | Alpha | Kondisi | Keterangan |
| Literasi Keuangan (X_1) | 0,200 | 0,5 | Sig>Alpha | Normal |
| Overconfidence (X_2) | 0,200 | 0,5 | Sig>Alpha | Normal |
| Risk Tolerance (X_3) | 0,200 | 0,5 | Sig>Alpha | Normal |

Based on table 4 above, the significant value obtained is 0.200 which is greater than 0.05, which means that it can be said that financial literacy, overconfidence, risk tolerance, and investment decisions are normally distributed. This shows that the regression model for each variable does not deviate from the normal boundary line and can be continued for further testing.

Multicollinearity Test

The multicollinearity test was carried out to test whether the independent variables have a high correlation so that it will cause multicollinearity symptoms. Multicollinearity can be determined from

the tolerance value or VIF (Variance Inflation Factor) where if the tolerance value is > 0.10 or $VIF < 10$, then multicollinearity does not occur. The results of the multicollinearity test in this study are as follows:

Table 5. Multicollinearity results

| Variable | VIF | Tolerance | Condition | Information |
|------------------------------|-------|-----------|------------|-------------------------------|
| Financial Literacy (X_1) | 1,354 | 10 | $VIF < 10$ | No signs of multicollinearity |
| Overconfidence (X_2) | 1,605 | 10 | $VIF < 10$ | No signs of multicollinearity |
| Risk Tolerance (X_3) | 1,23 | 10 | $VIF < 10$ | No signs of multicollinearity |

The results for the tolerance value obtained for the Financial Literacy variable showed a result of 0.739, the Overconfidence variable showed a result of 0.623, and the Risk Tolerance variable showed a result of 0.780. Meanwhile, the VIF value has a value below 10, namely the Financial Literacy variable 1.354, the Overconfidence variable 1.605, and the Risk Tolerance variable 1.283. So it can be concluded that the regression model in this study did not occur multicollinearity.

Multiple Linear Regression Test

Multiple regression analysis test is used to test the effect of two or more independent variables on one dependent variable. The following results of the multiple linear regression analysis test can be seen in the table below:

Table 6. Multiple Linear Regression Test

| Model | Coefficient | | Standardized T CoefficientS | Sig. |
|------------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| | B | Std. Error | | |
| Constant | 1,209 | 1,330 | 0,909 | 0,365 |
| Financial Literacy (X_1) | 0,199 | 0,444 | 0,275 | 4,554 0,000 |
| Overconfidence (X_2) | 0,375 | 0,049 | 0,501 | 7,636 0,000 |
| Risk Tolerance (X_3) | 0,069 | 0,047 | 0,086 | 1,463 0,145 |

From the results of table 6 seen from the Unstandardized Coefficients, the regression equation is:
Coefficients:

$$Y = \alpha + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3X_3 + e$$

$$Y = 1,209 + 0,199 X_1 + 0,375 X_2 + 0,069 X_3 + e$$

- The constant value (a) has a positive value of 1.209. This shows that the independent variables have Financial Literacy (X_1), Overconfidence (X_2), and Risk Tolerance (X_3) which are both zero or unchanged, so that the dependent variable Investment Decision (Y) is 1.209.
- The Coefficient of Financial Literacy (X_1) is 0.199 and the Sig value is 0.000 < 0.05 . This means that every Financial Literacy increases by 1 unit, it will affect Investment Decisions by 0.199.
- The Overconfidence Coefficient (X_2) is 0.375 and the Sig value is 0.000 < 0.05 . This means that each Overconfidence increases by 1 unit, it will affect the Investment Decision by 0.375.
- The Coefficient of Risk Tolerance (X_3) is 0.069 and the Sig value is 0.145 > 0.05 . This means that each Risk Tolerance increases by 1 unit, it will affect the Investment Decision by 0.069.

Partial Test (t test)

The t test is used to measure how far the influence of the independent or independent variables is partially in measuring the variation of the dependent variable. If the value of $t_{count} > t_{table}$, it can be stated that the independent variables individually have a positive effect on the dependent variable. If the significance number is less than 0.05, it is stated that the independent variable has a significant effect on the dependent variable. Following are the results of the t test in this study:

Table 7. Test t (partial test)

| Variable | t _{count} | t _{table} | Sig | Alpha | Condition | Information |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|-------|---|---------------------------------|
| Financial Literacy (X ₁) | 4,554 | 1,974 | 0,000 | 0,05 | t _{count} 4,554 > t _{table} 1,974 | Positive and significant effect |
| Overconfidence (X ₂) | 7,636 | 1,974 | 0,000 | 0,05 | t _{count} 7,636 > t _{table} 1,974 | Positive and significant effect |
| Risk Tolerance (X ₃) | 1,463 | 1,974 | 0,145 | 0,05 | t _{count} 1,463 < t _{table} 1,974 | No positive effect |

The sig value is known. for the variable Financial Literacy (X₁) on Investment Decision (Y) of 0.000 < 0.05 and tcount 4.554 > table 1.974 then H₁ is accepted and H₀ is rejected, which means the regression coefficient is significant. So it can be concluded that there is a positive and significant effect of Financial Literacy (X₁) on Investment Decisions (Y).

Sig. value for the Overconfidence variable (X₂) on Investment Decision (Y) of 0.000 < 0.05 and the tcount value is 7.636 > table 1.974 then H₂ is accepted and H₀ is rejected, which means the regression coefficient is significant. So it can be concluded that there is a positive and significant influence of Overconfidence (X₂) on Investment Decisions (Y).

Then the sig. for the Risk Tolerance (X₃) variable on Investment Decision (Y) of 0.145 > 0.05 and tcount 1.463 < table 1.974 then H₃ is rejected and H₀ is accepted, which means that the regression coefficient is not significant. So it can be concluded that there is no positive and significant effect of Risk Tolerance (X₃) on Investment Decisions (Y).

Simultaneous test (Test F)

The F test is used to test and find out how the influence of all independent variables together on the dependent variable in this study. This measurement is by looking at if a Significant value < 0.05 H₁ is accepted, then financial literacy, overconfidence, and risk tolerance simultaneously have a significant effect on student investment decisions. However, if the significance > 0.05 H₁ is rejected, then financial literacy, overconfidence, and risk tolerance simultaneously do not significantly influence student investment decisions. The results of the F test in this study are as follows:

Table 8. Simultaneous test (Test F)

| Variable | F _{count} | F _{table} | Sig |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|
| Financial Literacy (X ₁) | 65.489 | 3.05 | 0.000 |
| Overconfidence (X ₂) | | | |
| Risk Tolerance (X ₃) | | | |

Based on table 8 that the sig. of 0.000 which is less than 0.05 so that it can be said that Financial Literacy (X₁), Overconfidence (X₂), and Risk Tolerance (X₃) have a positive effect on the investment decision variable (Y).

4. CONCLUSION

Based on research on the Effect of Financial Literacy, Overconfidence, and Risk Tolerance on Student Investment Decisions in Palembang City, it can be concluded that the Financial Literacy Variable (X₁) has a positive and significant effect on Investment Decisions (Y). decision and not think about the risks that will be obtained. In addition, the Risk Tolerance Variable (X₃) has no positive and significant effect on Investment Decisions (Y). This shows that low Risk Tolerance tends to avoid existing risks so that they think more about the risks that will be obtained with not too high profits and the average respondent is too young, ranging from 20 to 22 years, where the majority are women, so the level of courage tends to be less to face high risks in investing.

REFERENCES

- Amelinda, R., & Ongkowidjaja, Y. P. (2022, Juli 7). Pengaruh Literasi Keuangan, *Overconfidence*, Dan Pendidikan Investor Terhadap Keputusan Investasi Saham Di Bursa Efek Indonesia (BEI), Jakarta. : *Jurnal Ilmiah Indonesia*, Vol. 7, No. 7, 9765-9777.

- Anfiyanti, S., Kuncoro Seno, P. H., & Muchtar, A. M. (2021). Pengaruh Literasi Keuangan, Motivasi, *Overconfidence* Terhadap Keputusan Investasi Pada Karyawan Badan Pemeriksa Keuangan (BPK). *Respository Akuntansi*, 1-11.
- Asfira, N., Rokhmawati, A., & Fathoni, A. F. (2019, Desember). Pengaruh *Financial Literacy* dan *Investment Experience* Terhadap *Risk Tolerance* dan *Investment Decision*. *Jurnal Ekonomi*, Volume 24, No 4, 340-352.
- Awais, Mustabsar, & et al. (2016). *Impact Of Financial Literacy And Invesmenst Experience On Risk Tolerance And Investment Decisions: empirical evidence from Pakistan*. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 73-79.
- Budiarto, A., & Susanti. (2017). Pengaruh *Financial Literacy*, *Overconfidence*, *Regret Aversion Bias*, Dan *Risk Tolerance* Terhadap Keputusan Investasi. *Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen*, Volume 5 Nomor 02, 1-9.
- Candy, & Vincent, K. (2021). Analisis Pengaruh *Behavioural Finance* Terhadap Pengambilan Keputusan Investasi Investor di Kepulauan Riau. *Jurnal Ekonomi & Ekonomi Syariah*, Vol. 4, No. 2, 864-873.
- Dwiputri, K., Husnatarina, F., & Bimaria, O. (2022). Pengaruh Modal Minimal Investasi, Pengetahuan Investasi, Risiko dan Return Terhadap Minat Berinvestasi Saham Pada Mahasiswa Jurusan Akuntansi Universitas Palangka Raya di Era Pandemi Covid-19. *Jurnal Penelitian UPR: Kaharati*, Vol 2, No.1, 34-40.
- Faidah, F. (2019). Pengaruh Keputusan Investasi, Keputusan Pendanaan, Kebijakan Dividen Dan Tingkat Suku Bunga Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan. *Journal of Applied Business and Economic*, Vol. 5 No. 3, 251-263.
- Fattah, F. A., Indriayu, M., & Sunarto. (2018). Pengaruh Literasi Keuangan dan Pengendalian Diri Terhadap perilaku Konsumtif Siswa SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Karanganyar. *Jurnal Pendidikan Bisnis dan Ekonomi*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 11-21.
- Halim, A. (2018). *Akuntansi Keuangan Daerah*. Penerbit Selemba Empat.
- Hasanudin, Nurwulandari, A., & Caesariawan, I. (2022). Pengaruh literasi keuangan, efikasi keuangan, dan sikap keuangan terhadap keputusan investasi melalui perilaku keuangan. *Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi dan Keuangan*, Vol 5, Number 2, 581-597.
- Hati, S. W., & Harefa, W. S. (2019). Analisis Faktor- Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Minat Berinvestasi Di Pasar Modal Bagi Generasi Milenial (Studi Pada Mahasiswa Jurusan Manajemen Bisnis Politeknik Negeri Batam). *Journal of Business Administration*, Vol 3, No 2, 281-295.
- Hidayat, V. E., & Pamungkas, A. S. (2022). Pengaruh Literasi Keuangan, Persepsi Risiko, Dan Toleransi Risiko Terhadap Keputusan Investasi Pada Saham. *Jurnal Manajerial dan Kewirausahaan*, Vol. 04, No. 03, 767-776.
- Kartika Dewi, N. P. (2020). Pengaruh *Financial Literacy*, *Risk Tolerance*, dan *Overconfidence* Terhadap Pengambilan Keputusan Investasi Pada Usia Produktif Di Kota Bandung. *Jurnal Mitra Manajemen*, Vol 4.
- Lakshmi. (2016). *Assessing the linkage behavioral traits and investment decisions using SEM approach*. *International Journal of Economic and Management*, 221-241.
- Malik, A. (2022, April 19). *Jumlah Investor Pasar Modal Tembus 8,4 Juta Maret 2022, Reksadana 7,7 Juta*. Retrieved from bareska.com: <https://www.bareska.com/berita/pasar-modal/2022-04-19/ksei-jumlah-investor-pasar-modal-tembus-84-juta-di-maret-2022-reksadana-77-juta>
- Malik, A. D. (2017). Analisa Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Minat Masyarakat Berinvestasi Di Pasar Modal Syraiah Melalui Bursa Galeri Investasi UISI. *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis Islam*, Vol. 3, No. 1, 61-84.
- Octaviani Mandagie, Y. R., Febrianti, M., & Fujianti, L. (2020). Analisis Pengaruh Literasi Keuangan, Pengalaman Investasi Dan Toleransi Risiko Terhadap Keputusan Investasi. *Journal.univpancasila*, Vol 1, No 1, 35-47.
- Otoritas Jasa Keuangan. (2022, April 13). *Satgas Waspada Investasi Kembali Temukan 20 Entitas Investasi Ilegal Dan 50 Pinjaman Online Tanpa Izin*. Retrieved from OJK: <https://www.ojk.go.id/id/berita-dan-kegiatan/info-terkini/Pages/Satgas-Waspada-Investasi-Kembali-Temukan-20-Entitas-Investasi-Ilegal-Dan-105-Pinjaman-Online-Tanpa-Izin.aspx>
- Purnamasari, E. D., & Maharani. (2022). Analisis Pengaruh Kebijakan Investasi dan Pembiayaan Modal Kerja Terhadap Profitabilitas Perusahaan Sub Sektor Kosmetik dan Peralatan Rumah Tangga di Indonesia Periode 2014-2019. *Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi dan Keuangan*, Volume 4, Number 10, 4782-4789.
- Parmitasari, R. D., & et al. (2018). *Analysis of Ethics and Investor Behavior and Its Impact on Financial Satisfaction of Capital Market Investors*. *Scientific Research Journal (SCIRJ)*, Vol VI, 51-69.
- Putra, P. S., Ananingtias, H., Sari, D. R., Dewi, A. S., & Silvy, M. (2016). Pengaruh Tingkat Literasi Keuangan, *Experienced Regret*, dan *Risk Tolerance* Pada Pemilihan Jenis Investasi. *Journal Of Business and Banking*, Vol 4, No 2, 271-282.
- Perayunda, G. A., & Mahyuni, L. P. (2021). Faktor- Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Keputusan Investasi Cryptocurrency Pada Kaum Milenial. *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Keuangan*, Vol. 6, No.3, 351-371.

- Pradikasari, E., & Isbanah, Y. (2018). Pengaruh *Financial Literacy, Illusion Of Control, Overconfidence, Risk Tolerance*, Dan *Risk Perception* Terhadap Keputusan Investasi Pada Mahasiswa Di Kota Surabaya. *Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen, Volume 6 Nomor 4*, 424-434.
- Putri, I. R., & Hikmah. (2020, Juni). Pengaruh *Financial Literacy, Overconfidence, Regret Aversion Bias* Dan *Risk Tolerance* Terhadap *Investment Decision* Di Kota Batam. *Jurnal Ilmiah Maksitek, Vol. 5 No. 2*, 95-109.
- Ramadhan, R. M. (2020). Pengaruh Literasi Keuangan, Persepsi Risiko dan *Overconfidence* terhadap Keputusan. 1-88.
- Seltiva, E. S., & Purwanti. (2017). Pengaruh Literasi Keuangan, *Risk Tolerance*, Dan *Overconfidence* Pada Keputusan Investasi Mahasiswa Di Masa Pandemi Covid-19. *Jurnal Manajemen*, 1-11.
- Soernarso, A. S. (2021, September 28). *Jumlah Investor Pasar Modal Meningkat Pesat Selama Periode Januari-Agustus 2021*. Retrieved from Kontan.co.id: <https://investasi.kontan.co.id>
- Sugiharti, H., & Maula, K. A. (2019). Pengaruh Literasi Keuangan Terhadap Pengelolaan Keuangan Mahasiswa. *Journal of Accounting and Finance, Vol. 4 No. 02* , 804-818.
- Sugiyono. (2017). *Metode Penelitian Bisnis*. Bandung, Jawa Barat, Indonesia.
- Sugiyono. (2019). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D*. Alfabeta,CV.
- Tandellilin. (2017). *Pasar Modal Manajemen Portofolio & Investasi*. PT KANASIUS.
- Wulandari, T., Lazuarni, S., & Sari, R. (2022, Agustus). Pengaruh Literasi Keuangan dan *Payment Gateway* Terhadap Perilaku Keuangan Mahasiswa Manajemen Swasta di Kota Palembang. *Jurnal Manajemen Uigm, Vol 7 No 2*, 220-230.